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1. Background, Applicability and Definitions

1.1. Background
These policies were reviewed and approved by each of the scientific divisions of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (“Center”) and by the Board of Trustees on January 23, 2003. They supersede all prior versions of similar policies for faculty and Scientific Staff.

1.2. Applicability
These policies apply to faculty who are responsible for research conducted at the Center, including professors, associate professors, assistant professors, and external faculty with joint or affiliate appointments at the Center. Where specified, these policies are also applicable to staff scientists, staff physicians, associates, research associates and fellows.

It is the responsibility of the Center President and Director or designee to disseminate these policies and secure necessary agreements and forms from all applicable personnel. Failure of the Center President and Director or designee to do so in no way affects the responsibilities or obligations of the faculty and Scientific Staff. Once approved, these policies will be posted on the Center’s website and will be available in the administrative office of each division at the Center.

In addition to these academic policies which relate specifically to faculty and Scientific Staff, there are a variety of other Center policies on various administrative issues (e.g. Human Resources, Grants and Contracts) which are also applicable to faculty and Scientific Staff. These policies are available on the Center’s website.

While these academic policies are broadly applicable, the scientific divisions within the Center have certain procedures that vary from one another, depending upon scientific discipline. Refer to the individual scientific division for their procedures. If there is a conflict between the terms of Center policies and the policy of a division, the terms of the Center policies supersede the division policy.

1.3. Compliance with University of Washington (UW) Faculty Policies
In accordance with the Affiliation Agreement between the Center and the UW, these policies are intended to be consistent with the requirements of the UW Faculty Code. Center faculty who are also professors of the UW faculty must comply with the policies of the UW as well as the Center’s policies. Questions or issues concerning inconsistencies between UW and Center policies should be directed to the Center President and Director.

1.4. Definitions

1.4.1. “Center President and Director”
The Center’s highest-level officer, appointed by the Center Board of Trustees.

1.4.2. “Center A&P Committee”
The Center Appointments and Promotions Committee whose duties include ratification of scientific division decisions about faculty appointments and promotions and ensuring that processes comply with Center policies.
1.4.3. “Division A&P Process”
The process employed by the scientific division where the faculty or Scientific Staff Professor holds a primary appointment. The procedures that each division uses can be found on the divisional websites.

1.4.4. “Division Director”
The head of a Center scientific division and a Senior Vice President of the Center who is appointed by the Center President and Director subject to ratification by the Center’s Board of Trustees.

1.4.5. “Faculty or Faculty Professor”
The term used to denote individuals who hold the title of Professor, Associate Professor and Assistant Professor at the Center.

1.4.6. “Scientific Staff”
The term used to denote individuals who hold the title of Staff Scientist, Staff Physician, Associate in [Division], Research Associate or Fellow at the Center.
2. Appointments

2.1. Categories of Appointments and Qualifications

2.1.1. Professor

This rank is comparable to that of Professor at a major university (except that unlike many universities, the Center does not offer tenure to professors, instead relying on periodic ongoing external reviews, which are detailed in Section 4. The Center makes an extended commitment to such individuals who are, therefore, selected with the utmost care and rigor. Appointment to the rank of professor requires outstanding mature scholarship, excellence in research and national or international recognition. Professors are subject to peer review at five-year intervals [See detailed discussion at Section 4.1]

2.1.2. Associate Professor

This rank is comparable to that of Associate Professor at a major university. Appointment to this rank requires a record of substantial and sustained success in the appropriate research discipline and the achievement of national recognition for an individual’s scientific accomplishments. For clinical faculty, clinical scholarship and relevant administrative accomplishments will be considered along with independent research accomplishments. An Associate Professor may be nominated for promotion to Professor at any time, but must be considered for promotion after five years in rank. [See detailed discussion at Section 3.2]

2.1.3. Assistant Professor

This rank is comparable to that of Assistant Professor at a major university. Appointment to this rank requires an appropriate doctoral or medical degree and, for laboratory or clinical scientists, a period of postdoctoral research or clinical senior fellowship. With the exception of clinical scholars in the Clinical Research Division, all Assistant Professors must demonstrate a capability for independent research. Assistant Professors must also demonstrate a high probability of success in their chosen discipline. Assistant Professors may be nominated for promotion at any time, but must be considered for promotion to Associate Professor by the end of the fifth year in rank.

2.1.4. Associate in [Division]

This position is meant to provide a transition from trainee to faculty status at an academic or research institution. Appointment to this position requires an appropriate doctoral or medical degree. Nomination is made through a professor of the faculty who is responsible for providing funding and resources within his or her space. Associates in [Division] may apply for research grants under the sponsorship of the faculty sponsor. The position does not necessarily imply future consideration for faculty track positions at the Center, although qualified individuals may apply for a faculty position in accordance with applicable Center policies. The Associate in [Division] position may be held for up to three years.

2.1.5. Staff Scientist

Appointment to this position requires an appropriate doctoral degree or equivalent experience (as determined by their Division A&P Process). Nomination to this position is made through a professor of the faculty who is then responsible for providing funds and resources within his or her program space for the Staff Scientist. Staff Scientists may apply for their own research grants, subject to approval by their faculty sponsor, and according to the standard procedures of their division. Their continued appointment at
the Center is dependent upon their annual performance review and the willingness and ability of their faculty sponsor to continue their sponsorship. There are three levels of Staff Scientist (Assistant, Associate and Full); qualifications for these levels are determined within each division.

2.1.6. **Staff Physician**
Appointment to this position requires an appropriate medical degree and post-graduate subspecialty training. Nomination to this position is made through a Professor of the faculty who is responsible for providing funds and resources within his/her program space for the Staff Physician. Staff Physicians may apply for their own research grants, subject to approval of their faculty sponsor, and according to the standard procedures of their division. Their continued appointment at the Center is dependent upon their annual performance review and the willingness and ability of their faculty sponsor to continue their sponsorship. There are three levels of Staff Physician (Assistant, Associate, and Full); qualifications for these levels are determined by the Division. Staff Physicians may apply for faculty positions if performance warrants.

2.1.7. **Fellows and Research Associates**
Appointment as a postdoctoral or clinical senior fellow requires a doctoral or MD degree and selection by a professor of the faculty for research training in the Faculty Professor’s designated space. Funding normally is provided by stipends awarded through individual fellowships or training grants. Research Associates may continue their research training beyond their fellowship under the sponsorship of a Faculty Professor who provides funding and resources. The maximum term for Fellowship/Research Associate status is five years (six years for early entrants to the fellowship program). If the individual changes his/her faculty sponsor during the fellowship, the maximum combined term is eight years.

2.1.8. **Visiting Investigators and Visiting Physicians**
These positions are temporary appointments, usually for one year, for scientists or physicians who have been invited by a professor of the faculty to work at the Center utilizing the faculty sponsor’s space and resources.

2.1.9. **Joint Appointments: Internal**
Faculty may hold joint appointments in more than one division, subject to the agreement of the faculty of all divisions involved. Administrative roles for Joint Faculty Professors are determined by the divisions in which they are appointed. Joint Faculty Professors have voting privileges in all divisions in which they hold an appointment. Except in special circumstances which must be approved by the Center President and Director, Joint Faculty Professors will have a primary appointment in one division which will have responsibility for provision of their space and resources.

2.1.10. **Joint Appointments: External**
An external joint appointment recognizes the participation of individuals primarily based at another institution who play a key or essential role in the conduct of Center research. The appointee’s primary institution is responsible for their financial support to the degree it is not covered by grants and contracts. Individuals with external joint appointments may serve as Principal Investigators on grants and contracts administered through the Center, subject to the approval by the Director of the division in which they hold an appointment. Individuals with external joint appointments must comply with
Center policies and procedures while they are performing work at the Center. They may use the Center’s shared resources (if surplus time is available) and should identify the Center in scientific publications when appropriate. Administrative responsibilities and voting privileges for faculty with external joint appointments are discretionary and determined by the division in which they hold an appointment.

2.1.11. Affiliate Investigators

This position is available to individuals based at other institutions who make useful contributions to the Center’s research and to Center faculty from other divisions. This appointment does not involve independent assignment of Center space or resources, and individuals with this appointment normally cannot serve as Principal Investigators on grants or contracts administered by the Center. Exceptions must be approved by the Center President and Director. Individuals with affiliate appointments must comply with Center policies and procedures while they are performing work at the Center. They may use the Center’s shared resources (if surplus time is available) and should identify the Center in scientific publications when appropriate. Administrative responsibilities and voting privileges for faculty with affiliate appointments are discretionary and determined by the division in which they hold an appointment.

2.2. Job Selection Criteria

The Center does not discriminate against individuals because of their race, color, religion, creed, ancestry, national origin, sex, age, disability, marital status, veteran status, sexual orientation, or political ideology. Discriminatory action in violation of Center policy or applicable law may result in disciplinary action. This policy applies to all Center programs and facilities. In particular, the Center does not discriminate in employment in the following areas: recruiting, recruitment advertising, hiring, placement, promotion, transfer, demotion, training, selection for training, rates of pay or other forms of compensation or benefits, layoffs, return from layoff practices, and termination. In accordance with the Center’s Affirmative Action policy, the Center is committed to fully utilizing qualified persons who are minorities or women for all faculty or other positions.

Search committees need to be certain that the criteria used for selection accurately reflect the requirements for the position and that the criteria are free of cultural or racial bias. In situations in which a minority candidate presents a different background, committees have the responsibility to explore constructive ways in which this background can be utilized to enrich the Center.

When recruiting for positions, open positions should be posted locally so persons affiliated with the Center have the opportunity to apply. However, such individuals should not be given preference over other more highly qualified candidates.

2.3. Selection Process

The selection process outlined in the paragraphs below is applicable to Center-based recruitments. Joint and Affiliate appointments for investigators from other institutions are processed according to the processes of the scientific division with whom the individual desires an affiliation, subject to final approval by the Center A&P Committee and the Center President and Director.
2.3.1. **Establishment of a Faculty Position**

When Faculty Professors of a division determine that it is desirable to recruit a new professor of the faculty for their division, they should approach their Division Director with the request to open a position. The following information must be determined in connection with a request to open a position: division(s) and program(s) (when applicable) in which the new Faculty Professor would hold an appointment, title and level of rank, anticipated salary and salary source, and the space and resources (equipment specialized facilities, new development support) that would be needed for the position. The Division Director will make the initial determination of whether the position is warranted, and if so, will name a preliminary Search Committee and Committee Chair. The Search Committee will include individuals with sufficient expertise in the relevant scientific area to recommend and evaluate candidates. An effort will be made to include on the search committee qualified minority and women Faculty Professors and representatives from other divisions with expertise in the relevant area or discipline. The Search Committee Chair will develop a draft advertisement for the position (with help from the Human Resources Department) and recommend possible journals for ad placement. All of this information is recorded on a “Request for Opening a Scientific Staff Position” form which is sent to the Office of the Director. The information is forwarded to the Center A&P Committee for review.

The Center A&P Committee will evaluate the need for the position if the request fits with the Center’s strategic plans, and the capacity of the Center to provide the necessary resources. If the Committee decides to open the position, the Committee will review the advertisement and Search Committee assignments and makes recommendations. The matter is then referred to the Center President and Director for review and approval. If the Center President and Director approves the position, the search process is initiated.

2.3.2. **Establishment of a Scientific Staff Position**

The process for recruitment of new non-professor track scientific personnel must follow the standard procedures outlined in the Personnel Policy and Procedures manual.

2.3.3. **Faculty Search Process: Advertisement**

2.3.3.1. **Advertisement**

The position must be advertised using the approved advertisement in at least one appropriate professional journal and at placement services of appropriate societies (if available). The Search Committee chair should consult with the Human Resource Department concerning ad placement. (Note: an appointment cannot be made at a different level than the one advertised. If a division wants to change the level of an appointment from the one originally stated, the search process must be started anew with a new advertisement.)

2.3.3.2. **Applicant List**

The Search Committee should review in detail each applicant’s complete curriculum vitae, outside letters of reference (minimum of three) and other supporting documents to rank applicants and determine who will be invited for in-center interviews and a seminar presentation. Once the Committee has identified qualified applicants to be invited to the Center, a list of those applicants’ names and addresses will be forwarded to the Human Resources...
Department so they can send candidates a letter regarding affirmation action status. The Human Resources Department is responsible for collecting all returned affirmative action information for use in complying with Center, federal and state reporting requirements.

2.3.3.3. **Interviews and Seminars**
Qualified applicants will be invited to visit the Center, will be interviewed by Search Committee professors and will present a seminar. In addition to the Search Committee, voting professors of the faculty in the Division recruiting the Faculty Professor and Center A&P Committee professors will be specifically notified about the seminars. The Human Resources Department pays for recruitment costs, and applicant visits should be coordinated with the Employment Manager.

2.3.3.4. **Staff Vote**
The first ranked candidate will be selected by the Search Committee and his/her qualifications, including the complete curriculum vitae and outside letters of reference, will be evaluated by the faculty of the Division in which the appointment is proposed. A vote of approval of the proposed appointment by the faculty of the division is required. Specific requirements relating to this process vary by Division.

2.3.3.5. **Center Approval**
Once the Division has approved the first ranked candidate, the complete curriculum vitae and outside letters of reference and the results of the faculty vote will be forwarded to the Center A&P Committee, and then the Center President and Director, for approval. (Approval by the Center A&P Committee can be by mail if there is unanimous approval of the candidate by the Center A&P Committee). The Division Director will then initiate formal negotiations with the candidate, determine whether the candidate is interested in accepting the position, and prepare a formal offer letter advising the candidate of the proposed terms of appointment. This letter must be reviewed and approved by the Center President and Director or the Chief Operating Officer of the Center before it is sent.

2.3.4. **Follow Up to Candidate Acceptance**
Once the candidate has accepted the offer, the Center President and Director will send a letter of appointment to the candidate, informing him/her of the appointment and the effective date. If no acceptance letter from the candidate has been received by the Division Director, it will be requested. The letter of appointment will also forward information covering Center faculty policies.

2.3.5. **Selection of Faculty Administration Positions**
Occasionally, faculty administrative posts become available either because the incumbent resigns from a position or a new division or program is created. Administrative positions include the Center President and Director, Division Directors and Program Heads. The Center President and Director is a Board of Trustees-appointed position and will always be conducted via an external search. For other faculty administrative positions, the Center President and Director must approve all openings. When a position opens, a determination will be made whether to fill it through an
internal search or an external search. The primary factor in making this decision will be whether good internal candidates exist who satisfy all of the criteria for the position. If an external search is conducted, the search process outline in section 2 will be followed. If a decision is made to use an internal search only, the following steps must occur:

2.3.5.1. **Notice of Opening of Position**

The opening will be communicated to all faculty who are eligible to apply for the position (in the case of a Division Director, all faculty within the division, in the case of a Program Head, all of the faculty who are professors of the program).

Appropriate efforts will be made to ensure that eligible women and faculty of color have been notified (consistent with the Center’s goal of increasing the representation of these groups in leadership positions).

2.3.5.2. **Determination of Individual Authorized to Select Appointee**

For Division Directors, the Center President and Director selects the appointee; for Program Heads, the individual authorized to select the Program Head will be determined by the Center A&P Committee.

2.3.5.3. **Consultation with Faculty**

The individual selecting the Faculty Professor for the administrative role will consult widely with all affected faculty in the division and also in other divisions if there is participation in the program or division by other division professors.

2.3.5.4. **Approval by the Center A&P Committee and the Center President and Director**

The appointment of a Division Director, and the Program Head must be approved by the Center A&P Committee and the Center President and Director.

2.3.5.5. **Other Administrative Positions**

Openings for other administrative positions sometimes occur, including associate division directors, heads of training programs, associate program heads, administrative roles in major research projects, medical administration roles, etc. If these positions result in the appointee receiving extra compensation or increased Center support, the Division Director involved should follow the internal search processes outlined in Section 2.3.5 for these positions. However, the Center President and Director and the Center A&P Committee do not need to approve these positions.

2.4. **Change in Status**

On occasion, a Faculty Professor may desire to make a change in his or her faculty status on a temporary or permanent basis. In such cases, the following processes apply:

2.4.1. **Sabbatical Leave**

Faculty at the Associate or Professor status who have worked at the Center for generally more than seven years are eligible for sabbatical leave, for the purposes of study, research or professional service. Sabbatical leave may be taken for periods of between 3 and 12 months; faculty are eligible for up to 3 months of salary compensation during the sabbatical leave. Faculty interested in a sabbatical must apply to their Division Director 6 months in advance. All faculty sabbaticals must be approved by the Division Director and Center President and Director. More information about sabbatical leaves is

2.4.2. Leave of Absence
Faculty may request a leave of absence for up to one year for personal or career purposes. Faculty Professors do not generally receive compensation from the Center during such leaves, and arrangements must be made to ensure that grants and contracts which the Faculty Professor administers are managed in a satisfactory manner during the leave. Leaves can extend beyond one year, but only if it is to the Center’s benefit as determined by the Center President and Director, in consultation with the Center A&P Committee. Depending upon the circumstances, the Faculty Professor will retain a position upon his or her return but will not be guaranteed the same space and resources.

2.4.3. Emeritus Status
Faculty Professors at the Associate or Professor rank who have worked at the Center for at least 10 years and are retired from active status may request an Emeritus appointment. This appointment will be based upon a meritorious scholarly or service record. It must be voted on by the division and approved by the Division Director and Center A&P Committee. Faculty Professors with emeritus status may continue to use Center shared resources such as the library and may retain an office at the Center if they continue to be actively involved in scholarly work.

2.4.4. Special Circumstances Change in Status
Purpose:
In order to be successful at the Center and in their careers, Faculty Professors generally are expected to work full-time. The Center recognizes, however, certain special circumstances under which a temporary reduction or modification in schedule is necessary and/or desirable. These special circumstances include leave of absences or reduced/modified schedules for family responsibilities, to accommodate disabilities, and for individuals nearing retirement. Through this Policy, the Center hopes to extend a means by which Faculty Professors can balance their careers with their family and other life interests and do so without penalty.

Policy:

a. At the written request of a Faculty Professor, the Center will consider granting that professor a leave of absence and/or a temporary change in status from full- to part-time to accommodate one of the special circumstances listed above.

b. Alternatively or as part of the same request, the Faculty Professor also may ask the Center to consider extending the Professor’s review and promotion process for up to two years (at each faculty level – Assistant, Associate, etc.)

c. A request for a change in status and/or extension must be in writing and should be submitted to the appropriate Division Director. The request must state the special circumstance justifying the action, provide details about the exact nature of the change requested (i.e., leave of absence, change to part-time status and/or extension of review and promotion), offer the expected duration of the change, and explain why the Faculty Professor believes the change in status should be granted. The request also should indicate how the Faculty Professor
intends to meet his/her obligation for grants and contracts, trainees, and clinical service.

d. Upon receiving such a request, the Division Director will review the request and communicate it to the Senior Vice Presidents, along with his/her recommendation. The Senior Vice Presidents along with the Center’s Director will review it and make a final determination as to its merits.

e. Even if the Faculty Professor’s request is approved, the professor still may be expected to attend certain faculty functions and/or participate in other Center activities as deemed necessary by the Division Director. The Faculty Professor and the Division Director should specify and come to an agreement about any such expectations prior to the change in status.

f. In cases of a leave of absence or a reduction to part-time status (but not in cases of an extension only), the relevant Division Director has the discretion to limit the Faculty Professor’s space and/or scale back the professor’s support. Space and support will be reevaluated on a yearly basis.

g. Change of status for special circumstances will not be granted as a substitute for a sabbatical (see Sabbatical Policy) or as a method of extending a promotion period simply because of low productivity. The Center recognizes, however, that interests other than the ones described in this policy may warrant a change in status, and will consider faculty requests under those circumstances, as well.

Note Regarding Appointments at the University of Washington

The University of Washington has similar policies for faculty with appointments to the School of Medicine and the School of Public Health. Faculty Professors with UW appointments who are considering a request for a change in status here at the Center are encouraged to concurrently explore their options, requirements and/or restrictions with the appropriate departmental and/or division administrators at the UW, as well.
3. Promotions

3.1. General Guidelines for Promotions
Decisions to nominate Faculty Professors for promotion are made annually within each scientific division. In cases where joint appointments at UW are involved, reasonable efforts will be made to accommodate coordinated evaluation with UW. Formal promotional review requires that letters of evaluation be sent to at least ten outside reviewers (UW faculty are considered to be inside reviewers). The list of reviewers must be approved by the Center A&P Committee. There must be an advertised seminar (with appropriate notification to faculty and Center A&P Committee professors) and evaluation, in the form of a vote, by Faculty Professors of higher rank within the nominee’s division. The results of this process are then forwarded with the supporting documentation to the Center A&P Committee for its recommendation, and to the President and Director for final review and approval.

Each scientific division has its own process for promotional review and for adjustments to Faculty Professors’ space and resources upon promotion.

The policies outlined in this section 3 are applicable to all divisions (see: https://centernet.fredhutch.org/cn/p/transfer-and-promotion.html)

3.2. Associate Professors
Associate professors may be nominated for promotion at any time, but must be considered for promotion by the fifth year in rank as an Associate Professor. Four of the five scientific divisions require that time in rank as an Associate Professor be limited and that a decision to promote or not must be made within a certain time period. The Clinical Research Division allows faculty to remain at the Associate Professor rank indefinitely, but with mandatory four-year reviews (see section 4.2).

3.3. Assistant Professors
Assistant Professors may be nominated for promotion at any time during the first five years of appointment but must be considered for promotion by the end of their fifth year. The maximum time in rank as an Assistant Professor varies by division but may not exceed seven years (including one year of termination notice) in any division.

3.4. Staff Scientists and Physicians
Persons holding these positions are nominated for promotion in accordance with the procedures of their respective divisions and are not subject to procedures outlined in Section 3.

3.5. Associate in [Division]
These individuals, if not appointed to a regular faculty position, must relinquish this category of position at the Center within three years.

3.6. Fellows
Individuals appointed as Postdoctoral and Clinical Senior Fellows are limited to a total of five years in which to seek Associate in [Division] status or a regular faculty appointment at the Center or elsewhere. Exceptions will be made for Clinical Senior Fellows who receive early entrance into the fellowship program and require an additional year to complete their medical...
training. Also, if the individual changes faculty sponsor during the fellowship, the maximum combined term is eight years.

3.7. Joint Appointments: Internal
Where Faculty Professors hold joint appointments in another division, reasonable efforts will be made to conduct the promotional review at the same time in each division and to include each division in the evaluation process. The decision whether to promote the individual will be made separately in each division. If different decisions are made, the matter will be reviewed by the Center A&P Committee and forwarded with a recommendation to the Center President and Director for final approval.

3.8. Joint Appointments: External
Faculty Professors based at other institutions with a joint appointment at the Center must comply with the promotional procedures applicable to internal candidates, including peer review and evaluation of outside letters of reference, a vote by divisional faculty, favorable recommendations by the Center A&P Committee, and final approval by the Center President and Director.

3.9. Affiliate Investigators
These individuals are not ranked and therefore do not receive promotions. Their status is evaluated biannually by the division in which they hold an appointment.

3.10. Promotion Process for Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) Faculty
HHMI Assistant and Associate Faculty will maintain rank and abide by the HHMI review process indefinitely. If a promotion consideration is desired, the HHMI faculty professor must notify their division director and will follow the current Academic Policy for faculty promotions.
4. Reviews

4.1. Five-Year Reviews of Professors

Faculty Professors are reviewed every five years. The purpose of the review is to evaluate whether the Faculty Professor meets Center standards for scientific excellence, and whether the professor meets other criteria (such as participation in clinical care and teaching activities) as established by the primary division in which the Professor resides. The five-year review provides an opportunity for the Professor and his/her/their Division Director to discuss career development and future resource needs of the Investigator. The review is initiated by the Director of the division in which the Professor holds his or her primary appointment. In cases where the Professor holds a joint appointment in another division, the Director of that division will also be consulted. The final decision regarding reappointment is made by the Center President and Director.

The five-year review process is designed to evaluate a Professor’s scientific and professional qualifications. It in no way limits the Center’s ability to enforce other Center policies (such as policies on Conflict of Interest, Scientific Misconduct and Harassment) or to take appropriate disciplinary actions for violations of those policies including termination of employment.

Professors who are based at other institutions but hold a joint appointment at the Center may be required to undergo a five-year review, depending on the space and resource commitment made by the Center. If a such a joint professor has a significant wet lab presence at the Center, or will utilize more than 1,000 net-square-feet of office space for periods of time anticipated to be in excess of 5 years, a five-year review will be required unless waived by the Center President and Director.

Each division has its own process for conducting the five-year reviews. Changes to these processes must be consistent with Center-wide policies and approved by the Center President and Director. Changes to the Center-wide policies will be made only after consultation with the faculty. The following general guidelines apply to all divisions:

4.1.1. Selection of Reviewers

The professor will be asked to suggest several scientists to act as reviewers. A majority of reviewers a) should be active leaders in their fields; b) should not be close collaborators of the professor; and c) generally should be external (that is, not Fred Hutchinson faculty or UW faculty, if the professor has a regular appointment at UW). The Division Director will consider the list provided by the professor in formulating a list of at least ten potential reviewers, and may designate additional scientists at his or her discretion to act as reviewers. If the professor holds a joint appointment in another division, that Division Director may also designate additional reviewers.

4.1.2. Approval of Reviewers

The names of the proposed reviewers will be sent to the Center A&P Committee for review in advance of the division review process. If a Committee Professor has concerns that a scientist selected to perform the review does not meet the applicable standards, they will notify the appropriate Division Director(s), who will consider the objections and make the final determination as to the appropriateness of the reviewers selected.
4.1.3. **Division Review Process**
Each division has its own process for five-year review that will apply to professors in that division. In cases where a professor holds a joint appointment in two or more divisions, the process of the division which the professor has a primary appointment will be followed. However, representatives from each division in which the professor has an appointment will be represented on any relevant review committees. Each division in which the professor holds an appointment will receive the same information from the review process, although each division will make a separate recommendation about satisfactory performance. If the professor holds two primary appointments, the Division Directors in each of those divisions will agree upon a process that will meet the standards of both divisions.

4.1.4. **Center Process**
After the division review process is complete, the results of the division review and all supporting materials will be sent to the Center A&P Committee, which will undertake a further review to determine whether Center and division processes were followed and whether the review criteria were properly applied.

4.1.5. **Satisfactory Review**
If the division review finds that the professor’s performance meets Center and Division standards and the Center A&P Committee concurs, a recommendation will be made to the Center President and Director that the professor shall continue in good standing. The Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the professor’s status.

4.1.6. **Disagreement About Performance**
If the division review finds that the professor’s performance meets Center and Division standards, but the Center A&P Committee determines that the applicable review processes were not followed or the Center A&P Committee does not concur with the Division recommendation, its concerns will be referred to the Division Director(s) for consideration. In cases where there is disagreement between the Center A&P Committee and the Division Director(s) concerning the review process or the appropriate determination for a particular professor, the Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the professor’s status.

4.1.7. **Unsatisfactory Review**
If the division review finds that the professor’s performance does not meet Center and Division standards and the Center A&P Committee concurs, the professor will be so informed by the Division Director(s). The professor may appeal to the Center President and Director who will appoint an advisory committee of respected scientists to review the situation and report their findings and conclusions to the Center President and Director. The Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the professor’s status.

4.1.8. **Failure to Follow Policies**
If the division review finds that the professor’s performance does not meet Center and Division standards, but the Center A&P Committee determines that the applicable review processes were not followed or that review criteria were not properly applied, its concerns will be referred to the Division Director(s) for reconsideration. In cases where
there is disagreement between the Center A&P Committee and the Division Director(s) concerning the review process or the appropriate recommendation, the Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the professor’s status.

4.1.9. **Disagreement Between Divisions**

If one division finds that a professor’s performance meets Center and Division standards and another Division finds it does not, both findings will be referred to the Center A&P Committee for review in accordance with this Policy. In general, the Division where the primary appointment is held, and where space and resource decisions are made, will take the lead in discussions with the Professor about performance and necessary improvement. The Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the Professor’s status.

4.1.10. **Action Plan for Unsatisfactory Review**

In cases where the professor receives an unsatisfactory review, a mutually acceptable action plan will be developed by the Professor and his/her Division Director(s) that includes:

- a) a summary of required improvements in performance,
- b) a time frame for repeat external review (within 5 years),
- c) a review of space and Center resources to be allocated to the Professor given current productivity and required improvements.

If the Division Director(s) and the Professor cannot agree on a plan for improving the Professor’s performance after an unsatisfactory review, the Professor can appeal to the Center President and Director for a decision. The Center President and Director’s decision shall be final.

A professor who has received an unsatisfactory review must submit an annual progress report to the Division Director(s) of each division in which the

Professor holds an appointment. The Professor will receive an internal performance update at least annually from the Division Director of the Division in which the Professor holds his/her primary appointment that will outline progress and review the Professor’s resource requirements. Any changes to the action plan must be mutually agreed upon. Failure to comply with an established action plan may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination of appointment.

4.1.11. **Repeat Review**

At the date established in the action plan, another external review will be conducted. If it is determined by the reviewers, the Center A&P Committee, and the Center President and Director that the Professor’s performance meets Center and Division standards, the Professor shall continue in good standing for a new five-year period. If it is determined that the Professor’s performance does not meet Center and Division standards, the Division Director(s) of the Division(s) where the Professor’s appointment is held will determine whether the plan should be modified, extended or whether transition planning for the Professor’s eventual separation from the Center should be initiated. A minimum of one year’s notice will be given to Professors who are asked to leave the Center due to unsatisfactory performance. If the professor does not agree with a Division Director(s) determination that transition planning for eventual
separation from the Center should be initiated, the Professor can appeal to the Center President and Director. The Center President and Director’s decision shall be final.

4.1.12. Ongoing Reviews for Permanent Associate Professors
(Clinical Research Only) The Clinical Research Division allows for the possibility of career Associate Professors, subject to favorable reviews every four years. The policies pertaining to this review are outlined in the Clinical Research Division’s policies and can be found on their Divisional website.

4.1.13. Deferral of Review Process for Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) Faculty
Due to the rigorous review process required by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute on their investigators, the Fred Hutch review process will be waived for Faculty Professors who have an active HHMI appointment. If an HHMI faculty professor does not pass their HHMI review, they will proceed with a review based on the current Fred Hutch Academic Policies within one year.

A Fred Hutch review process can be initiated outside of the HHMI review schedule by the Faculty Professor’s Division Director or the President and Director as needed.
5. Termination of Appointment and Appeal Process
In cases where a Faculty Professor receives an unsatisfactory review or is not promoted, the following processes are available to the Faculty Professor for review and consideration of the decision.

5.1. Appeal
If the Faculty Professor believes that the decision was made in error or that the Center did not follow appropriate policies or procedures, they may appeal to the Center President and Director. The Center President and Director will appoint an advisory committee of respected scientists to review the situation and report their findings back to him/her/them. The Center President and Director will make the final determination regarding the Faculty Professor’s status.

5.2. Improvement Plan
In some cases (e.g. four- or five-year reviews), certain procedures permit the Faculty Professor to retain the appointment through development of a mutually accepted action plan. If the Division Director and the Faculty Professor cannot agree on an action plan, the Faculty Professor can appeal to the Center President and Director for a decision concerning the terms of an acceptable plan. The Center President and Director’s decision shall be final.

5.3. Notice to Faculty Professor
In cases in which the Faculty Professor is not promoted or receives an unsatisfactory review, a minimum of one year’s notice of termination will be given. The Faculty Professor must continue to comply with the Center’s standards of employee conduct and other relevant personnel policies after notice is given and failure to do so may result in disciplinary action including termination. Faculty Professors may request job counseling or other employee assistance if needed.

5.4. Notice to Scientific Staff
In cases in which the appointments of staff physicians or staff scientists are terminated for reasons other than misconduct or other personnel policy violations, if financial resources are available in the program in which the individual is employed, reasonable efforts will be made to give six months’ notice of termination. Staff Scientists and Physicians may request job counseling or other employee assistance if needed. The person receiving notice of termination must continue to comply with the Center’s standards of employee conduct and other relevant policies after the notice is given, and failure to do so may result in disciplinary action including termination.
6. Involvement with Outside Interests

6.1. Policies for Involvement with Outside Interests
All faculty, Scientific Staff and employees responsible for the design, conduct or reporting of research are responsible for understanding and complying with the Center’s policies concerning involvement with outside interests, including the Conflict of Interest and Conflict of Commitment Policy. The current version of this policy can be found within the Center-wide policies. This policy requires annual reporting of certain types of outside interests and that certain transactions be reviewed and approved by Center institutional officials after disclosure of all relevant facts to ensure that any conflict of interest is managed, reduced or eliminated to prevent bias, improper influence, or misuse of Center or government resources. In some instances, a conflict management plan may be required by the Center.

6.2. Principle of Openness in Research
The right and obligation of Faculty and Scientific Staff at the Center to publish and in other ways communicate results of their scientific findings is considered of paramount importance to the effective functioning of the Center, and to carrying out its missions and objectives. This includes the right to discuss internally the methods and results of their research with other Center scientists prior to their publication or public disclosure. Faculty and Scientific Staff should not undertake research that is subject to unreasonable restrictions on its publication or public disclosure or that otherwise unreasonably impairs their ability to communicate and discuss the results of their scientific findings.

6.2.1. Restrictions on Publications and Disclosures that are Allowed
The following restrictions are considered reasonable and are allowable without further approval:

a. Requirements that a publication or disclosure be delayed for a short period (normally not to exceed sixty (60) days) for patenting purposes or to permit a research sponsor to review and comment on the proposed publication or disclosure;

b. Delays in a publication or disclosure (normally not to exceed sixty (60) days) when it is in the best interests of the research such as in the case of a multi-site study requiring review of data by a publication committee; and

c. Requirements that a proposed publication or disclosure be modified to delete confidential information provided by a third party that the third-party requests not be disclosed.

d. Requirements that confidential information provided by a third party be disclosed or used only as permitted by the third party.

e. Requirement that the outcomes of clinical prevention and treatment trials not be published or disclosed during the course of the trial.
Restrictions on publications or public disclosures other than those referenced above or in Section 6.2.2 must be approved by the President and Director of the Center or his or her designee.

6.2.2. Restrictions that may be Considered Reasonable

− Background information provided by a third party supporting contract research at the Center that is privileged and available only to the collaborators in the research.

− Any situation in which release of preliminary information would jeopardize the successful completion of the research project (e.g. epidemiology studies where the results will generate public controversy.)

If a Faculty Professor or Scientific Staff foresee that either situation applies to a research grant or contract that the individual wishes to enter into, that individual must discuss the situation and receive approval from the appropriate Division Director before proceeding with the research. If there is a situation that is not covered by these situations, then approval from the Center President and Director must be sought.

6.2.3. Use of Confidential Information

Faculty or Scientific Staff may not disclose to third parties or use for their personal benefit confidential information (including confidential information of third parties) acquired by reason of their position at the Center.
7. **Intellectual Property**

7.1. **Application**

The provisions of this Intellectual Property Policy apply to all faculty, Scientific Staff, visitors, graduate students or other students, individuals in a training capacity, and Affiliated Professors engaged in research and/or study at the Center (“staff”).

7.2. **Ownership of Intellectual Property**

All inventions, copyrightable works (excluding articles, dissertations, theses, and books which are generated by a Staff Professor for scholarly or educational purposes and which relate to research performed at the Center in which that Staff Professor participated), software, tangible research material and research data that is invented, created, authored or reduced to practice in the course of Staff’s employment by or affiliation with the Center or with the use of Center resources including time, facilities or resources furnished by the Center or paid for from funds administered by the Center regardless of whether such funds arise from government appropriations, donations, grants, contracts or other sources (“Center Intellectual Property”) shall be owned by the Center. “Center Intellectual Property” does not include and this Policy does not apply to any invention for which no equipment, supplies, facilities or trade secret information of the Center was used, and which was developed entirely on a Staff Person’s own time, unless: (a) the invention relates (i) directly to the business of the Center or (ii) to the Center’s actual or demonstrably anticipated research or developments; or (b) the invention results from any work performed by the Staff person for the Center. When a Faculty Professor consults for an outside entity, intellectual property arising from those activities generally will not be considered to be Center Intellectual Property. This should be addressed in the consulting agreement with the outside company.

7.3. **Assignment of Rights**

As a condition of employment or affiliation with the Center, staff shall be informed of this Intellectual Property Policy and shall be required to disclose to the Center or its designee all Center Intellectual Property. Staff shall execute promptly all contracts, assignments, waivers or other required legal documents and do all other things reasonably requested, at no personal expense to the staff professor, to obtain, protect, and maintain Center Intellectual Property including, without limitation, an Assignment Agreement (see: [https://centernet.fredhutch.org/cn/p/intellectual-property.html](https://centernet.fredhutch.org/cn/p/intellectual-property.html)).

7.4. **Research Financed by Outside Sponsors**

Research work financed wholly or in part by an outside sponsor is governed by the provisions of the grant or contract covering such work, and all staff engaged in such research work are bound by those provisions of the grant or contract covering the work. Certain sponsored research grants and contracts may grant various intellectual property rights to the sponsoring agency or company. All grants or sponsored research contracts must be reviewed and approved by the Center President and Director or his or her designee and must be signed by an authorized representative of the Center. Staff are not authorized to sign grants or sponsored research contracts on behalf of the Center.

7.5. **Consulting Work**

Any individual engaged in consulting work should use caution in determining whether the clauses in the consulting contracts governing patents and other intellectual property rights
conflict with this Intellectual Property Policy or with Center commitments under sponsored grants or contracts. Third parties hiring staff as consultants may not acquire rights by reason of the consulting relationship in any publication, invention, discovery, improvement or other intellectual property developed as a result of a program of research financed in whole or in part by funds provided by or under the control of the Center and are not entitled to priority or advantage as a result of the consultancy in gaining access, whether by license or otherwise, to any proprietary information or intellectual property that arises from any research undertaken by the consultant in his or her capacity as an employee or an affiliate of the Center. Questions about potential conflicts involving Center Intellectual Property should be referred at once to the Center President and Director or his or her designee, and should be noted on the "Request for Prior Approval of Outside Activities and Financial Interests" form.

7.6. Release of Intellectual Property Rights to Investors
If the Center determines that it does not intend to pursue licensing of or otherwise use any invention or other Center Intellectual Property, it shall formally waive its rights in such Center Intellectual Property and, if requested and if possible, transfer it in a timely manner to the staff who invented or created it. A waiver or transfer shall not be granted unless all commitments of the Center to sponsoring agencies or companies are satisfied. Upon signing and delivery of the waiver and transfer, the Center will have no further interest in and no further financial obligation with respect to the Center Intellectual Property in question except as expressly agreed to in writing by the Center.

7.7. Resolution of Differences
Disputes concerning intellectual property, including the interpretation of this Policy, shall be referred to the Center President and Director for resolution.

7.8. Administration of Policy
The Center President and Director or his or her designee shall carry out the provisions of this Intellectual Property Policy. Other staff are not authorized to manage Center Intellectual Property or sign agreements relating to Center Intellectual Property.

7.9. Intellectual Property Development
The Center recognizes that the evaluation of intellectual property and the administration, development and processing of patents, copyrights and other legal protections for intellectual property involves substantial time and expense. The Center may contract with an outside agency for these services. The decision whether or not to obtain or maintain a patent, copyright or other intellectual property protection is in the sole discretion of the Center, although the views of the inventor or author of the intellectual property will be given reasonable consideration.

7.10. Division of Royalties
Both the Center and the inventor(s) or author(s) have claims on royalty income, the Center on the basis of salary and facilities support to the inventor(s) or author(s) and any cost of patent administration, and the inventor(s) or author(s) on the basis of creative activity, recruitment of research support from outside sources, and extra efforts at documentation. To recognize this creative activity, and to encourage the prompt disclosure of all intellectual property, the Center’s policy is to participate with the inventor(s) or author(s) according to the following
three-tiered schedule, after deducting a 15% administration fee and, once the second tier is reached, directly assignable out-of-pocket expenses of patenting, copyrighting, trademarking, marketing, and licensing the intellectual property less $15,000. Payments related to intellectual property developed with the assistance of a sponsoring agency may be subject to limitations on the division of royalties imposed on the Center by that agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative Net Royalties</th>
<th>Inventor(s)</th>
<th>Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 - $10,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 - $40,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000+</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inventors may choose to direct the “Inventor” portion of income to a laboratory research account which will not be subject to the Center’s indirect cost charge. Distribution among inventor(s) or author(s) of a particular invention shall be in equal shares, unless agreed upon otherwise and in writing among the inventor(s) or author(s). In case of dispute, distribution will be determined by the Center President and Director after consultation with the inventor(s) or author(s).

7.11. **Internal Reporting**

All Center Intellectual Property which should be investigated for patent or other intellectual property protections should be disclosed by the inventor(s) or author(s) to the Technology Transfer Office. It is of the utmost importance that these disclosures be made as promptly as possible following any discovery or inventions which may have patentable implications or the creation of any software or other work which may be copyrightable. Instructions for disclosing are available from the Technology Transfer Office.

7.12. **Sponsoring Agencies**

Where the terms of a grant or contract provide for the transfer of intellectual property rights to the sponsoring agency or company, or that title to such rights will be decided by a government agency, the Technology Transfer Office will be responsible for interfacing with the agency or company on issues relating to such intellectual property.

7.13. **Effective Date of Implementation of this Policy**

This policy pertains to any inventions, works or other intellectual property reported to the Center on or following the effective date of implementation (adoption by the Board of Trustees January 23, 2003) and any expenses incurred and income received from any invention following the effect.